

1

2

3

4

5

6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 | NEXUS ASSET HOLDINGS, LLC, *et al.*,

11 || Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:07-CV-00206-KJD-LRL

12 | v.

## ORDER

13 WORLD HOCKEY ASSOCIATION  
CORP., *et al.*,

## Defendants.

Presently before the Court is Defendant Robert M. Hull’s Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice for Want of Prosecution (#220). Plaintiff Global Developments, Inc. filed a Joinder (#221) to Defendant Hull’s motion. Though the time for doing so has passed Plaintiff Nexus Asset Holdings, LLC (“Nexus”) has failed to file a response in opposition to the motion.

Plaintiffs filed their action on February 15, 2007. At the time, both parties were represented by the same counsel. However, on June 26, 2007, Hutchison & Steffen, LLC moved to withdraw as counsel for Nexus, because of potential conflicts of interest between the two clients. Counsel also had been unable to locate any officers of Nexus. The motion to withdraw was granted on August 8, 2007 (#86). After the withdrawal, Nexus has not been represented in this action and has not participated in any way in the action. Furthermore, Nexus's status as a Texas LLC was forfeited on

1 or about February 9, 2007. See Defendant Hull's Motion, Exhibit 1, p. 7-8 (#220). Defendant  
2 Global and Hull have reached a tentative settlement.

3 Reviewing the factors set out in Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1987),  
4 the Court agrees that Nexus's causes of action must be dismissed with prejudice. First, the public  
5 interest in expeditious litigation will be satisfied. Leaving these claims open will prejudice all parties  
6 by indefinitely delaying the litigation. Second, the court's need to manage its docket will be satisfied  
7 by dismissing the claims of a party that has failed to participate in asserting its claims. Defendants  
8 will be prejudiced if the litigation is not resolved with all claims being dismissed. Less drastic  
9 sanctions are not available, because Nexus has forfeited its business entity status and no officer has  
10 been found to step into Nexus' shoes. Therefore, in accordance with Local Rule 7-2(d) and good  
11 cause being found, the Court grants Defendant Hull's motion.

12 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant Robert M. Hull's Motion to  
13 Dismiss with Prejudice for Want of Prosecution (#220) is **GRANTED**;

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court enter **JUDGMENT** for Defendants  
15 and against Plaintiff Nexus Asset Holdings, LLC only.

16 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of November 2010.

17  
18   
19

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Kent J. Dawson  
22 United States District Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26